After years of growth, the proportion of births that are to unmarried women has leveled off, and since 2009 has been at just over 40 percent.

**Importance**

Children born to unmarried mothers are more likely to grow up in a single-parent household, experience unstable living arrangements, live in poverty, and have socio-emotional problems. As these children reach adolescence, they are more likely to have low educational attainment, engage in sex at a younger age, and have a birth outside of marriage. As young adults, children born outside of marriage are more likely to be idle (neither in school nor employed), have lower occupational status and income, and have more troubled marriages and more divorces than those born to married parents.

Women who give birth outside of marriage tend to be more disadvantaged than their married counterparts, both before and after the birth. Unmarried mothers generally have lower incomes, lower education levels, and are more likely to be dependent on welfare assistance compared with married mothers. Women who have a nonmarital birth also tend to fare worse than childless single women; for example, they have reduced marriage prospects compared with single women without children.

A majority of unmarried births now occur to cohabiting parents. Between 2006 and 2010, 58 percent of unmarried births were to cohabiting parents: in 2002, the proportion was 40 percent. Children born to cohabiting parents are more likely to see their parents eventually marry than are those born to non-co-residential parents. Nevertheless, children born to cohabiting parents experience higher levels of socioeconomic disadvantage, and fare worse across a range of behavioral and emotional outcomes than those born to married parents.
**Trends**

The proportion of births to unmarried women has increased greatly in recent decades, rising from five percent in 1960 to 32 percent in 1995. After some stability in the mid-1990s, there was a gradual rise from 1997 through 2008, from 32 to 41 percent. The rate appears to have stabilized again, and was at 40 percent in 2014. (Figure 1) The long-term trend toward non-marital births may be attributed, in part, to an increase in cohabiting unions and in births within such relationships. Data for 2014 show an increase among all age groups over 19 years in the share of births that were to unmarried women. (Appendix 1)

**Differences by Race and Hispanic Origin**

There are large differences by race and Hispanic origin in the share of births to unmarried women, with non-Hispanic white women and Asian or Pacific Islander women much less likely than women in other groups to have a nonmarital birth. In 2013, 72 percent of all births to black women, 66 percent to American Indian or Alaskan native women, and 53 percent to...
Hispanic women occurred outside of marriage, compared with 29 percent for white women, and 17 percent for Asian or Pacific Islander women. (Appendix 1) However, the difference between black and white women in the percentage of births that are nonmarital has been shrinking since 1980, while the difference between white and Hispanic women has been widening. (Figure 1)

Differences by Age

Younger women who give birth are substantially more likely than older women to do so outside of marriage. In 2014, the great majority of teenage births were to unmarried women: 99 percent for teens under age 15 and 89 percent for 15- to 19-year olds. This compares with 66 percent of births to women ages 20 to 24, 37 percent to women ages 25 to 29, and between 22 and 24 percent to women in their thirties and forties. (Figure 2) Between 1960 and 1970, the fastest growth in the percentage of non-marital births was among 15- to 19-year-olds. However, between 1970 and 2000, the fastest growth was among 20- to 29-year-olds; and between 2000 and 2010, the fastest growth has been among 30- to 35-year-olds. (Appendix 1)
Nonmarital Births in Cohabiting Couples

Data from a national survey indicate that more than half (58 percent) of all non-marital births in 2006-2010 occurred within cohabiting unions, although there is substantial variation by racial and ethnic group, age, and poverty status. Among Hispanic and white women, 68 percent of all nonmarital births occurred within cohabiting unions, compared with only 35 and 45 percent, respectively, among black and Asian women. (Appendix 2)

Teens are less likely than older women to have nonmarital births within cohabiting unions. Forty-six percent of nonmarital teen births occurred within cohabiting situations, compared to 63 percent among women aged 20 to 24, and about 60 percent among women aged 25 and older (including 61 percent for women aged 25-29, and 60 percent for women aged 30-44). (Appendix 2)

Women whose family incomes were between 150 and 299 percent of the federal poverty line (at the time of interview, up to five years after the birth) were the most likely to be cohabiting at the time of a non-marital birth (67 percent); among women living below 150 percent of the poverty line, or at 300 percent and above, 57 percent of non-marital births occurred within cohabiting unions. (Appendix 2)

State and Local Estimates


- Estimates for the percentage of births to unmarried women 1990-2013 are available for all states and the 50 largest cities in the U.S. from the KIDS COUNT Data Center: http://datacenter.kidscount.org/data/tables/7-births-to-unmarried-women?loc=1&loct=2.
International Estimates

- International estimates for the number and percentage of births to unmarried women from 1990-1998 are available from the UN Statistics Division at:
  http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/dyb/dybnat.htm (See Table 13)

- Estimates for European countries are available from EuroStat:

- Estimates for the percentage of births to unmarried women in select countries are also available from “Changing patterns of nonmarital childbearing in the United States”; see Figure 6. www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db18.pdf.

National Goals

Reducing the number of out-of-wedlock births was one of the goals of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PROWRA). Although specific targets were not set, annual bonuses were awarded to states that reduced the percentage of births to unmarried women by the largest amount (without increasing abortions).

More information is available at www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ofa/law-reg/finalrule/aspesum.htm under Title IX: Miscellaneous.

What Works to Make Progress on This Indicator


Also, see the U.S. Office of Adolescent Health’s list of interventions meeting its criteria for effectiveness in pregnancy prevention: http://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/oah-initiatives/teen_pregnancy/db/tpp-searchable.html
Related Indicators

- Unintended Births: [www.childtrends.org/?indicators=unintended-births](http://www.childtrends.org/?indicators=unintended-births)
- Teen Births: [www.childtrends.org/?indicators=teen-births](http://www.childtrends.org/?indicators=teen-births)
- Child Support Receipt: [www.childtrends.org/?indicators=child-support-receipt](http://www.childtrends.org/?indicators=child-support-receipt)

Definition


Data Sources


### Raw Data Sources

Cohabiting data: National Survey of Family Growth  
[http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsfg.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsfg.htm)

All other data: Birth Data, National Vital Statistics System  
[http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss.htm)
# Appendix 1 - Percentage of All Births that Were to Unmarried Women, by Race and Hispanic Origin and Age: Selected Years, 1960-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race/Hispanic origin¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaskan Native³</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 15 Years</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>84.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 years and over</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Totals for Hispanic Origin and American Indian or Alaskan Native may not add to total because of rounding.
² Totals for Hispanic Origin and American Indian or Alaskan Native may not add to total because of rounding.
³ Totals for Hispanic Origin and American Indian or Alaskan Native may not add to total because of rounding.
1 Data for estimates before 1980 are based on the race/ethnicity of the child, from 1980 on estimates are based on the race/ethnicity of the mother. Before 1980 data for the mother’s marital status was estimated for the United States from data for registration areas in which marital status of mother was reported. For 1980 on, data for States in which the mother’s marital status was not reported were inferred from other items on the birth certificate and included with data from the reporting States.

2 Excludes data for New Hampshire and Oklahoma which did not report Hispanic origin on the birth certificate before 1990.

3 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.

Appendix 2 - Of Births to Unmarried Women, Percentage Where Mother Was Cohabiting at Time of Birth, by Race and Hispanic Origin, Age, and Poverty Status at Interview: 2002 and 2006-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Hispanic origin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>67.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>67.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poverty status (at interview)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-149% of FPL</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-299% of FPL</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300% or more of FPL</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"-" Data not available.

1 Analyses based on questions to mothers about any birth in the past 5 years.

2 FPL is the Federal poverty level.

Endnotes


20 Hispanics may be any race. Estimates for whites and blacks in this report exclude Hispanics.